

From: Sheppard Gordon <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 July 2021 18:45
To: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@towerhamlets.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: ID205: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi [REDACTED]

Apologies for the late reply, I was on leave earlier this week and still catching up.

Can you please send me a design which includes all your potential proposed closures, that way I can assess the potential severity of some not being included in the strategic modelling? Nevertheless, normally where roads are not included in the ONE model this is because very low traffic flows use these routes, so I am hopeful that it shouldn't jeopardise the overall usefulness of the ONE modelling exercise when making decisions.

Thanks

Gordon

Gordon Sheppard

Principal Network Manager - A11, Tower Hamlets & Enfield (North East)

Network Performance - Delivery

 **TRANSPORT FOR LONDON**

Surface Transport | Network Management Directorate

Palestra House | 3rd floor - Zone 3B | 197 Blackfriars Road | London SE1 8NJ

Tel: [REDACTED] | Ext: [REDACTED] | Mobile: [REDACTED] | E: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk



EVERY JOURNEY MATTERS

From: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>
Sent: 06 July 2021 11:36
To: Sheppard Gordon <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@towerhamlets.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: ID205: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi Gordon,

I hope all is well.

I have received quotation (about £9,000) from PCAM regarding the traffic reassignment for the Bow Area. In their response, they have included the text below which I would like your opinion about it.

We do not have every minor road in the model and would not be able to add these in as part of this PCAM work. Therefore we cannot model the closure of Selwyn Road at Antill Road (Selwyn Road is not included in the model) or the closure of Russia Lane at Robinson Road (Robinson Road is not included in the model). The outputs from this indicative test would help project centre to understand the scope and scale of traffic reassignment impacts. It will provide the project team with an idea of the workability of the proposals and whether a full three stage assessment and detailed modelling exercises are necessary, including which survey sites may be required. These outputs cannot be used to inform scheme design / decision making. For the changes involving signalised junctions/crossings indicative layout and signal timings is required.

If Tower Hamlets are going to raise a PO for this amount they will expect the output to be adequate for any detailed modelling to help in the decision making.

Please, could you let me know if the outputs of this ONE modelling exercise will be sufficient for TfL for the proposed LinSig Modelling.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

t: [REDACTED]
m: [REDACTED]

From: PCAMRequests <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 05 July 2021 13:18

To: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>

Cc: Wilson James [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; ONE [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>;
PCAMRequests [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Evans Catherine
<[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: ID205: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Dear [REDACTED]

We are now able to provide a quote for the work requested, please see the attached.

Also attached is a licensing agreement necessary for gaining access to the TfL ONE strategic model. The licence provides 12 months of access to the model. As the nature of the ONE work you are requesting is indicative only and is unlikely to require the full 12 months of licence agreement, once the indicative tests are complete and agreed we will pause your licence agreement, such that the remaining time is no longer elapsing. If the project develops into a full assessment and the ONE model is required again, we will reinstate your licence agreement at no additional cost to cover this work.

If the attached is acceptable, could you please complete the licence agreement (items highlighted yellow) and return it along with a purchase order for the quoted amount.

Kind regards,
PCAM Admin.

From: PCAMRequests [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 18 June 2021 10:13

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>

Cc: Wilson James [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; PCAMRequests
[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: ID205: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Dear [REDACTED]

Further to your audit request, this is to update you that it is still with our resourcing managers and they are looking into it. Please note that it may take them a couple of weeks before they advise on the resourcing for the request. Once we have the advice, we will immediately issue and send a quote to you. Please bear with us while we wait for the advice.

Kind regards,
PCAM Admin

From: PCAMRequests [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 June 2021 12:47
To: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>
Cc: Wilson James [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; PCAMRequests [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: ID205: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for contacting PCAMRequests.

Your request has been passed to our resourcing manager. We will get back to you soon.

Kind regards,

PCAM Admin

From: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>
Sent: 15 June 2021 10:31
To: PCAMRequests [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Wilson James [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Dear All,

The London Borough of Tower Hamlets is undertaking a Low Traffic Neighbourhood scheme within the Bow Area and to the east of Grove Road, which will involve some road closures and bus gate. This will result in traffic redistribution within / around the study area.

Project Centre is helping the Council to understand the potential implications of the proposals on the local and main road network within the vicinity of the study area. As part of the local traffic modelling to predict the road network impacts, we will need information on how traffic will be redistributed in relation to the proposals.

TfL has previously used the ONE model to undertake initial traffic reassignment for this proposal. As stated in James' email below, due to the length of time passed since the assessment was done and more so changes in the proposal, there is the need to undertake the traffic reassignment again.

I have attached the Proposed Design for Romans Road / St Stephen's Road junction as well as a map of the potential road network interventions for the Bow scheme. There is another Liveable Street Scheme to the west of Grove Road which will involve closure of the Bonner Street to its junction with Roman's Road and replacing the existing signalised junction with standalone Toucan crossing. Added to that, there is a proposal to ban left turn from Cambridge Heath Road to Old Ford Road. Although, we are not undertaking local modelling for the LTN on the west of Grove Road at present, for the strategic modelling/traffic reassignment, it would make sense to treat them together, hence, providing the proposed plans for the west scheme.

In terms of desired outputs, we will need the percentage changes of the turning flows on the approaches of the key junctions, in particular, the signalised junctions for both the AM and PM peak hours. For timescale, we will appreciate if the work can be undertaken within 2 – 3 weeks following

commissioning. However, PCL is happy to discuss with TfL about this if the proposed timescale is difficult to achieve.

Kind Regards.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Wilson James <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 May 2021 14:08
To: Sheppard Gordon <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@Projectcentre.co.uk>; Mandalia Sachin (ST)
[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@towerhamlets.gov.uk>; Griffiths Mark
(ST) <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi [REDACTED] Gordon,

Thanks for bringing me in on the below. Considering the amount of time which has passed since we last looked at the Bow area in a strategic model we will be starting afresh on this one again.

We'd be able to kick this off with an indicative assessment of the scope and scale of traffic reassignment impacts of your proposals in the new 2026 Future Year scenario ONE model.

This would be an AM & PM 2026 assessment in the model in its current state without adding any network detail, or checking the quality or representativeness of the existing ONE model within the area of interest. It would be used for high level outputs only. Its purpose is to give the project team an idea of the workability of the proposals, whether full assignment modelling is required, and the area(s) that we would need to commission traffic counts, and build junction models for, to assess the impacts properly, if further assignment modelling is required.

To get the ball rolling send an email to [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk, including the attachments which were included in your email of 17th May, and any details you currently have about the proposals / desired outputs / timescales etc.

Once we've got this email it will be allocated to a modeller, who will draw up a quote for this indicative work, as well as a ONE model licence agreement. If you accept the quote, as soon as we have a purchase order and the completed licence agreement, the modeller will be able to start testing your interventions to produce the indicative outputs.

If you have any questions, please get in touch.

Many thanks,

James Wilson

Principal Transport Modeller
Modelling & Visualisation

Network Performance



Surface Transport | Network Management Directorate

Palestra House | 3rd Floor | 197 Blackfriars Road | London SE1 8NJ

Tel (Auto): [REDACTED] | External: [REDACTED]



EVERY JOURNEY MATTERS

From: Sheppard Gordon [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>

Sent: 18 May 2021 12:00

To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@projectcentre.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk)>; Griffiths Mark (ST) [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@Projectcentre.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@Projectcentre.co.uk)>; Mandalia Sachin (ST) [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>; Wilson James <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>; Mohammed.Chibou@towerhamlets.gov.uk

Subject: RE: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for sharing the proposals.

I agree that given the proximity of the changes to access onto Bonner Street and Old Ford Road it would make sense to include everything in the same update to the strategic modelling, along with the Bow Liveable Neighbourhood proposals. The previous ONE modelling analysis is no longer valid given the changes to the proposal (hence the need now to redo it), however I am afraid I am unsure about how the previous PCAM was set up (if at all) - my colleague James Wilson undertook this work but he is on leave this week, I'm sure he will be able to confirm when he is back.

Further ONE modelling would give an understanding of the expected traffic reassignment levels and there would then very likely be follow up LinSig modelling required (at least) to see the impact of this at key junctions - Project Centre would need to undertake this for audit by TfL. VISSIM may also need to follow if the levels of disruption expected are severe.

I spoke to [REDACTED] yesterday and advised that given the sheer number of closures being proposed within such a small footprint I think it will be best to set up a meeting between all stakeholders (including buses) so we can have a discussion prior to modelling re-starting. This has been set up for Friday. Personally I believe that there is no point in going forward with the aforementioned modelling exercises if there are fundamental concerns with the scheme which meant that there was no way TfL could support it. I personally have serious concerns about how a closure of Skew Bridge & Roman Road for through traffic at the same time is compatible - this will almost certainly cause major bus delays to routes running along Grove Road in particular where there is no bus lane protection.

Let's talk further on Friday. I am keen to get the ball rolling on modelling as quickly as possible... if this is the most suitable course of action.

Regards

Gordon

Gordon Sheppard

Principal Network Manager - A11, Tower Hamlets & Enfield (North East)

Network Performance - Delivery

 **TRANSPORT FOR LONDON**

Surface Transport | Network Management Directorate

Palestra House | 3rd floor - Zone 3B | 197 Blackfriars Road | London SE1 8NJ

Tel: [REDACTED] | Ext: [REDACTED] | Mobile: [REDACTED] | E: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk



EVERY JOURNEY MATTERS

From: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>

Sent: 17 May 2021 10:49

To: Griffiths Mark (ST) [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Sheppard Gordon

<[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]@Projectcentre.co.uk>; Mandalia Sachin (ST)

<[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi Mark/Gordon.

I hope all is well from your end.

I had a discussion with [REDACTED] about the scheme and he is getting pressure from the clients/stakeholders to get something on the ground very soon. Noted in your email below of TfL's willingness to support to move things forward quickly, however, the need to manage the clients/stakeholders expectation as well.

Please, is there any way we can work together to help support that? I called last week to discuss but couldn't get you.

I have attached the Proposed Design for Romans Road / St Stephen's Road junction as well as a map of the potential road network interventions, as requested in your email below. There is another Liveable Street Scheme to the west of Grove Road which will involve closure of the Bonner Street to its junction with Roman's Road and replacing the existing signalised junction with standalone Toucan crossing. Added to that, there is a proposal to ban left turn from Cambridge Heath Road to Old Ford Road. In terms of the strategic modelling, it would make sense to treat them together, hence, providing the proposed plans for the other scheme.

TfL undertook initial traffic reassignment (using the ONE MODEL) for the Bow scheme but not sure if a PCAM process was set up before the commencement of the work. Hopefully, Gordon can share more light on this. I have attached a copy of the initial assessment to the email.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

t: [REDACTED]

m: [REDACTED]

From: Griffiths Mark (ST) <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 23 April 2021 10:00

To: [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>; Sheppard Gordon

[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk>;

[REDACTED]@Projectcentre.co.uk>; Mandalia Sachin (ST)

[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

Hi All,

Thank you for the catch up yesterday, I am sorry Gordon was not able to make it, but having spoken to him today – he is up to speed and will pick up going forward. I understand you are keen to move quickly with this scheme but things don't appear to have moved on much since we last spoke in November 2020, so while we are willing to support progressing as quickly as possible, you will need to manage expectations with your clients as well. The key junction changes are at 05/000294 where you propose to throttle east west general traffic movements with a timed closure 0630 - 0930 and 1530 – 1900 Monday to Friday – which following consultation has majority support.

Gordon knows the area well and has worked on the Skew Bridge closure so has the history. The key for us is understanding the impact of the changes at 05/000294 on the wider A11 and A12 and bus journey times around the area impacted by displaced traffic – the closure of Skew Bridge again will compound the impact of the changes at 05/000294. I am aware that the closure of Skew bridge had some challenging stakeholder outcomes so we should ensure that we bear that in mind when planning the scheme delivery here and the level of modelling that we should undertake.

Immediate first steps:

- 1) Send Gordon the PROposed drawing for 05/000294
- 2) Share map of other network interventions
- 3) PCL / Tower Hamlets to confirm if a PCAM process has been set up

Once we know the above we can agree a way forward.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Griffiths | Performance & Planning Manager (Network Performance Delivery)

[REDACTED]

I work flexibly - so whilst sometimes it suits me to email outside normal working hours, I do not expect a response outside your own

-----Original Appointment-----

From: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@projectcentre.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@projectcentre.co.uk)>

Sent: Friday, 16 April 2021 12:08

To: [REDACTED]; Griffiths Mark (ST); Sheppard Gordon; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Mandalia Sachin (ST)

Subject: Bow - Roman Road Bus gate

When: Thursday, 22 April 2021 13:00-14:00 (UTC+00:00) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Hi all

As per our email discussion

Kind regards



Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

The contents of this e-mail and any attached files are confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately at postmaster@tfl.gov.uk and remove it from your system. If received in error, please do not use, disseminate, forward, print or copy this email or its content. Transport for London excludes any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached files.

Transport for London is a statutory corporation whose principal office is at 5 Endeavour Square, London, E20 1JN. Further information about Transport for London's subsidiary companies can be found on the following link: <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/about-tfl/>

Although TfL have scanned this email (including attachments) for viruses, recipients are advised to carry out their own virus check before opening any attachments, as TfL accepts no liability for any loss, or damage which may be caused by viruses.
